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## ABSTRACT

- Tensors are not just higher dimensional arrays, they are higher order extensions to vectors and matrices. They capture multi-linear structures more efficiently than matricising higher dimensions datasets. Dimensionality curse performance degradation is addressed using various approaches. This talk will survey tensor decompositions techniques and their applications in data mining and machine learning along with performance evaluations, challenges and future trends.


## High dimensional Matrices

## Linear Algebra

Vectors are linear structure that captures dimension and magnitude representative to some reference frame that is mostly the origin.

Matrices capture linear transformation in the vector spaces for the columns, and used in various linear actions such as in computer graphics for rotations, reflections, and other linear transformations such as scaling, additions, and sheering among others.

High dimensional matrices sometimes refers to matrices of higher number of columns that will require computational power that might not be feasible for large problems.

Dimensionality reduction algorithms are generally applied to capture the structure of the dataset in lower dimensions, such as PCA, capture the highest variance in the first few uncorrelated orthogonal principal components using eigenvectors characteristics and ranking by highest eigen values. SVD provides lower rank compressions using singular values to identify the best approximate rank.

## From Matrix to Tensor: A Complex Extrapolation

| Scalar-Level Thinking |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960's | $\Rightarrow$ | $\Downarrow$ | $\Leftarrow$ | The factorization paradigm: $L U$, $L D L^{T}, Q R, U \Sigma V^{T}$, etc. |
| Matrix-Level Thinking |  |  |  |  |
| 1980's | $\Rightarrow$ | $\Downarrow$ | $\Leftarrow$ | Cache utilization, parallel computing, LAPACK, etc. |
| Block Matrix-Level Thinking |  |  |  |  |
| 2000's | $\Rightarrow$ | $\Downarrow$ | $\Leftarrow$ | New applications, factorizations, data structures, nonlinear analysis, optimization strategies, etc. |

Charles Van Loan et al., 'Future Directions in Tensor-Based Computation and Modeling', Arlington, Virginia at the National Science Foundation, Feb. 2009. Available: http://www.cs.cornell.edu/cv/TenWork/Home.htm.

## What is a Tensor

- From relativity theory, tensors were used to interpret movement in space and time, from particles in the atom to the universe astronomical objects in a hierarchy of reference frames.
- Typical usage is in space time analysis, where space is three dimensional in nature ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ) and time $t$ is measured with intervals with constant c , then an object s world-line is expressed as $d s^{2}=d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2}+c^{2} d t^{2}$
- A typical tensor object in pattern recognition or machine vision applications is commonly specified in a high-dimensional tensor space.
- Recognition methods operating directly on this suffer from the curse of dimensionality.



## Tensor Representation

Tensors maintain the multiway interactions in the higher spaces.

The n indices for the n dimensions, such as the $i^{\text {th }}$ index is a point in the domain of the $i^{\text {th }}$ coordinate, describing a function mapping the index values as coefficients to variables mapping to an output value in the cell indexed.

(a) Mode-1 (Columns) fibers $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ (b) Mode-2 (row) fibers: $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}: \mathrm{k}}$ (c) Mode-3 (tube) Fibers: $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ (d) Horizontal Slices (e) Vertical Slices (f)Frontal Slices
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## Tensor Operations

## Multilinear Algebra

- Tensor N-Mode Products for $X \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{I} 1 \times \mathrm{I} 2 x \ldots \times \mathrm{IN}}$ with matrix $\mathrm{U} \in \mathcal{R}^{J x I N}$ results in tensor $\epsilon \mathcal{R}^{\left[1 \mathrm{X} \ldots \mathrm{In}-1 \mathrm{XJ} \mathrm{XI}_{N+1} \ldots \mathrm{X} I_{N}\right.}$

$$
\left(\mathrm{X} \times_{n} U\right)_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}, \mathrm{i}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \ldots, \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\sum_{i_{n}=1}^{I_{N}} x\left(\mathrm{i}_{1}, \mathrm{i}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) u_{j i n}
$$

- The n-mode product of a tensor with a matrix is related to a change of basis in the case when a tensor defines a multilinear operator.
- The Kronecker product for $X \in \mathcal{R}^{I x J}$ with matrix $U \in \mathcal{R}^{K x L}$ results in tensor $\epsilon \mathcal{R}^{I K x J L}$
$\chi \otimes U=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}x_{1,1} U & \cdots & x_{1, J} U \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{I, 1} U & \cdots & x_{I, J} U\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}x_{1} \otimes u_{1} & x_{1} \otimes u_{2} & x_{1} \otimes u_{3} \ldots x_{J} \otimes u_{L-1} & x_{J} \otimes u_{L}\end{array}\right]$
- The Khatri-Rao product for $X \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{I} x K}$ with matrix $\cup \in \mathcal{R}^{J x K}$ results in tensor $\epsilon \mathcal{R}^{I J x K}$

$$
\chi \odot U=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
x_{1} \otimes u_{1} & x_{2} \otimes u_{2} & \ldots & x_{K} \otimes u_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Dimensionality Reduction

## Summation Notation - CANDECOMP

- Hitchcock in 1927 proposed the idea of the polyadic form of a tensor, i.e., expressing a tensor as the sum of a finite number of rank-one tensors;
- Canonical decomposition (CANDECOMP) factorises a tensor into a sum of $\chi \in \mathcal{R}^{I x J x K}$
$\chi=\sum_{r=1}^{R} a_{r} \circ b_{r} \circ c_{r} \approx \sum_{r=1}^{R} a_{i r} b_{j r} c_{k r}$ for all $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{r}} \in \mathcal{R}^{I}, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{r}} \in \mathcal{R}^{J}$, and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{r}} \in \mathcal{R}^{K}$.


Producing $\chi_{(1)} \approx A(C \odot B)^{T},, \chi_{(2)} \approx B(C \odot A)^{T}, \chi_{(3)} \approx C(B \odot A)^{T}$
Concisely expressed as $\chi \approx \llbracket \lambda ; A, B, C \rrbracket=\sum_{r=1}^{R} \lambda_{r} a_{r} \circ b_{r} \circ c_{r}$
This three-way model is expressed as the frontal slices of $\chi$
N dimensions generalisation: $X \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{I} 1 \mathrm{XI} 2 x \ldots x \mathrm{IN}}$ as $\chi \approx \llbracket \lambda ; A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \ldots, A^{(N)} \rrbracket=\sum_{r=1}^{R} \lambda_{r} a_{r}^{(1)} \circ a_{r}^{(2)} \circ$ ... $a_{r}^{(N)}$

## Example CP applications:

- time-varying EEG spectrum arranged as a three-dimensional array with modes corresponding to time, frequency, and channel.
- vowel-sound data where different individuals (mode 1) spoke different vowels (mode 2 ) and the formant (i.e., the pitch) was measured (mode 3).


## Tucker 3-way and multiway Analysis

- Decomposes a tensor to a core tensor multiplied by a matrix along each mode:
$\chi \approx G \times_{1} A \times_{2} B \times_{3} C=\sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \sum_{k=1}^{K} g_{i j k} a_{i} \circ b_{j} \circ c_{k}=\llbracket G ; A, B, C \rrbracket$
N-Dim generalisation: $X \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{I} 1 \mathrm{XI} 2 x \ldots x \mathrm{IN}}$ as $\chi \approx \llbracket G ; A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \ldots ., A^{(N)} \rrbracket$
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ili2} \ldots \mathrm{i}}=\sum_{r 1=1}^{R 1} \ldots \sum_{r n=1}^{R N} \ldots g_{r_{1} \ldots r_{n}} a_{i 1 r 1}^{(1)} \circ a_{i 2 r 2}^{(2)} \circ \ldots a_{\text {inrn }}^{(N)}$

Example Applications:

- TensorFaces takes facial images for different people, each in different angles, lighting, facial expressions, ... more modes as required

M. A. O. Vasilescu and D. Terzopoulos, Multilinear analysis of image ensembles: Tensor-Faces, in ECCV 2002: Proceedings of the 7th European Conference on Computer Vision, vol. 2350 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, 2002, pp. 447\{460.


## Other Tensor Decomposition Approaches

PARAFAC2


Example Application: PARAFAC2 handles time shifts in resolving chromatographic data with spectral detection. In this application, the first mode corresponds to elution time, the second mode to wavelength, and the third mode to samples.

## DEDICOM


$X \approx A R A^{\top}$
Example Application: Bader et al. [Temporal analysis of semantic graphs using ASALSAN - ICDM 2007] applied their ASALSAN method for computing DEDICOM on email communication graphs over time. In this case, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ corresponded to the (scaled) number of email messages sent from person i to person j in month k .

## Dimensionality Curse

- Approximation and separability are of paramount importance. By representing functions of many variables as sums of separable functions, one obtains a method to bypass the curse of dimensionality.
- For example: Tensor networks represent a very high-order tensor by connecting many loworder tensors through contractions and sparse representations. Example datasets are found in solving Hamiltonian eigenvalue problems in quantum chemistry. Vectors of order $n=2^{100}$ can be successfully approximated with many fewer than n numbers.
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## Performance Evaluation

## Tensor Does not suffer from Dimensionality Curse

| Input | Output | VVP | TVP | TTP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\prod_{n=1}^{N} I_{N}$ |  | P | $P \prod_{n=1}^{N} I_{N}$ | $P \sum_{n=1}^{N} I_{N}$ |
| $10 \times 10$ | 4 | 400 | $P \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{N} \times I_{N}$ |  |
| $100 \times 100$ | 4 | 40,000 | 80 | $40(\mathrm{Pn}=2)$ |
| $100 \times 100 \times$ <br> 100 | 8 | $8,000,000$ | 2400 | $600(\mathrm{Pn}=2)$ |
| $\prod_{n=1}^{4} 100$ | 16 | $1,600,000,000$ | 6400 | $800(\mathrm{Pn}=2)$ |

H. Lu, K. N. Plataniotis, and A. N. Venetsanopoulos, 'A survey of multilinear subspace learning for tensor data', Pattern Recognit., vol. 44, no. 7, pp. 1540-1551, Jul. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.patcog.2011.01.004.

| Comparison | Linear subspace <br> learning | Multilinear subspace <br> learning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Representation | Reshape into vectors | Natural tensorial <br> representation |
| Structure | Break natural structure | Preserve natural structure |
| Parameter | Estimate a large <br> number of parameters | Estimate fewer parameters |
| SSS problem | More severe SSS <br> problem | Less SSS problem |
| Massive data | Hardly applicable to <br> massive data | Able to handle massive data |

## Tensorising Neural Networks

- The dense weight matrices of the fully-connected layers in DNN can be represented by the Tensor Train (TT) format such that the number of parameters is reduced by a huge factor while preserving the expressive power of the layer. TT can compute all the derivatives required by the back-propagation algorithm.
- TT-Format: $\chi \in \mathcal{R}^{J 1 \times \mathrm{J} 2 x \ldots x L D}\left(\mathrm{j}_{1} ; \ldots ; \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=\mathrm{G}_{1}[\mathrm{j} 1] \mathrm{G}_{2}[\mathrm{j} 2] \ldots \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}\right]$
- Example Application: Very Deep VGG networks we report the compression factor of the dense weight matrix of a fully-connected layer up to 200000 times leading to the compression factor of the whole network up to 7 times.


## Vector and Matrix Parallel Processing Examples

Algorithm: $[B]:=\operatorname{TRANSPOSE}(A, B)$
Partition $A \rightarrow\left(A_{L} \mid A_{R}\right), B \rightarrow\left(\frac{B_{T}}{B_{B}}\right)$
where $A_{L}$ has 0 columns, $B_{T}$ has 0 rows
while $n\left(A_{L}\right)<n(A)$ do
Repartition

$$
\left(A_{L} \mid A_{R}\right) \rightarrow\left(A_{0}\left|a_{1}\right| A_{2}\right),\binom{B_{T}}{B_{B}} \rightarrow\binom{\frac{B_{0}}{b_{1}^{T}}}{B_{2}}
$$

where $a_{1}$ has 1 column, $b_{1}$ has 1 row

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1}^{T}:=a_{1}^{T} & \text { (Set the current row of } B \text { to the current col- } \\
& \text { umn of } A)
\end{array}
$$

## Continue with

$$
\left(A_{L} \mid A_{R}\right) \leftarrow\left(A_{0}\left|a_{1}\right| A_{2}\right),\left(\frac{B_{T}}{B_{B}}\right) \leftarrow\left(\frac{\frac{B_{0}}{b_{1}^{T}}}{B_{2}}\right)
$$

## Algorithm: $[B]:=$ TRANSPOSE_ALTERNATIVE $(A, B)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Partition } A \rightarrow\left(\frac{A_{T}}{A_{B}}\right), B \rightarrow\left(B_{L} \mid B_{R}\right) \\
\text { where } A_{T} \text { has } 0 \text { rows, } B_{L} \text { has } 0 \text { columns }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { while } m\left(A_{T}\right)<m(A) \text { do }
$$

Repartition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{A_{T}}{A_{B}} \rightarrow\left(\frac{A_{0}}{\frac{a_{1}^{T}}{A_{2}}}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
B_{L} & B_{R}
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{l|l|l}
B_{0} & b_{1} & B_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { where } a_{1} \text { has } 1 \text { row, } b_{1} \text { has } 1 \text { column }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} ; \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { (Set the current rows of } \mathrm{A} \text { into the current } \\
& \text { columns of } \mathrm{B} .)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Continue with

$$
\left(\frac{A_{T}}{A_{B}}\right) \leftarrow\left(\frac{A_{0}}{\frac{a_{1}^{T}}{A_{2}}}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
B_{L} & B_{R}
\end{array}\right) \leftarrow\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c}
B_{0} & b_{1} & B_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

endwhile
R. A. van de Geijn and E. S. Quintana-Ort', The Science of Programming Matrix Computations. www.lulu.com, 2008.

## Tensor Partitioning Example for Multiple Sequence Alignment

## 2 Dimension Example

- Figure a shows two sequences partitioning space (Full matrix is ( $\mathrm{n} 1 \times \mathrm{n} 2$ ), where n 1 is length of first sequence on the rows, and n 2 is length of second sequence on the columns) visualising each dot as a partition of a matrix of size pxp (stride size) over three waves. First partition in the first wave, starts from index $(0,0)$, to index ( $p, p$ ). The last column and

| $(0,0)$ to <br> $(p, p)$ | $(0, p)$ to $(0,2 p)$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $(0, n 2-p)$ to <br> $(0, n 2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(p, 0)$ to <br> $(2 p, 0)$ | $(p, p)$ to <br> $(2 p, 2 p)$ | $\ddots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\ldots$ | $(2 p, 2 p)$ to <br> $(3 p, 3 p)$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $(n 1-p, 0)$ to <br> $(n 1,0)$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $(n 1-p, n 2-p)$ <br> to (n1,n2) | the last row in the partition is sent for communication for following wave starting ( $p, p$ ) to ( $2 p, p$ ) on one processor, and ( $p, 2 p$ ) on another processor, and so forth.
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## Tensor Partitioning Example for Multiple Sequence Alignment

## 3 dimension Example

- The Figure shows three sequences partitioning space (Full matrix is ( n 1 x $n 2 \times n 3$ ), where $n 1$ is length of first sequence , ... etc) visualising each dot as a partition of a matrix of size $\operatorname{pxpxp}$ over n1xn2xn3/p waves. First partition in the first wave, starts from index ( $0,0,0$ ), to index ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}$ ). The last column and the last row in the partition is sent for communication for following wave starting ( $p$, $p, p)$ to $(2 p, 2 p, 2 p)$ on one
 processor, and ( $\mathrm{p}, 2 \mathrm{p}$ ) on
(n1-p, n2-p, n3-p) to (n1, n2, n3) another processor.


## Tensor Partitioning Example for Multiple Sequence Alignment

## Higher Dimensions

a.



M. Helal, H. El-Gindy, L. Mullin, and B. Gaeta, 'Parallelizing Optimal Multiple Sequence Alignment by Dynamic Programming', Dec. 2008, pp. 669-674, doi: 10.1109/ISPA.2008.93.

## Tensor Partitioning Example for Multiple Sequence Alignment

## Search Space Reduction



Performance results for the conducted experiments illustrating the alignment scoring accuracy (Red: Entropy; Blue: Sum of Pairs score) over the change of the $\varepsilon$ value on the $x$-axis.

M. Helal, L. Mullin, J. Potter, and V. Sintchenko, 'Search Space Reduction Technique for Distributed Multiple Sequence Alignment', Oct. 2009, pp. 219-226, doi: 10.1109/NPC.2009.43.
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## Challenges and Future Trends

- Hierarchical code that works invariant of dimension and shape (attempted this in my MSc and PhD experiments). More modular APIs for analytics are required. Others have developed libraries for various analytics such as tensorly interface to PyTorch, Keras and TensorFlow, tensorbox toolbox in matlap,
- Automating code generation such as the Matrix/Vector correctness proof and partitioning code generated in Spark - FLAME code-skeleton generator (http://edx-orgutaustinx.s3.amazonaws.com/UT501x/Spark/index.html)
- Developing multilinear extensions of graph-embedding algorithms such as Isomap.
- Since many tensor decomposition approaches are iterative and not closed formula, more work on optimising the initialisation, projection order and the stopping criteria.
- Developing tensor LAPACK with cookbooks describing literature on the suitability or optimality of one model over another.
- These packages require non-functional requirements such as portability, reusability, reliability, correctness, and modularity especially on massively parallel multi-core architectures. Although the deepening memory hierarchy and architectural heterogeneity would be challenging.
- Addressing the issue of floating point stability in tensor computations


## For more information:

Please check my research page on my website, and hopefully I will update it as I go:
http://www.manalhelal.com/research/

## Any Questions?
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